

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	LICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/073,751	10/073,751 02/09/2002		Arjun Kar Roy	01CON211P	01CON211P 4492	
25700	7590	09/15/2003				
FARJAMI			EXAMINER			
	16148 SAND CANYON IRVINE, CA 92618			CHU, CHRIS C		
				· ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2815		
			DATE MAILED: 09/15/2003			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			w				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	····				
Advisory Action	10/073,751	KAR ROY ET AL.					
Advisory Addion	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Chris C. Chu	2815					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears n the c ver sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 08 September 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension							
fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:						
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);	•					
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d)  they present additional claims without canceli	ing a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claim	S.				
NOTE:							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: Se		dered but does NO	T place the `				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 - 18</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapp	roved by the Exami	ner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statementon. Other:	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
	SU	EDDIE LEE PERVISORY PATENT TECHNOLOGY CENTE	Examiner R 2800				

Som

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: On pages 7and 9 of response, applicant argues "Tsunemitsu does not teach, disclose, or suggest a metal resistor situated between a first and a second intermetallic dielectric layer". This argument is not persuasive because Tsunemitsu clearly discloses in Fig. 2 and column 2, lines 25 ~ 54 a metal resistor (16) situated between a first (15) and a second (17) intermetallic dielectric layer. Further, Yaung et al. teaches in Fig. 4 a dielectric cap layer (30) situating between a metal resistor (26) and a second intermetallic dielectric layer (34). Thus, Tsunemitsu et al. and Yaung et al. disclose the invention as defined in the amended independent claim 10 and Tsunemitsu et al., Kumar and Yaung et al. disclose the invention as defined in the amended independent claim 1.